DMPS REIMAGINING EDUCATION - ALL REGIONS FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS SUMMARY

			Facility Conditions										Total So	ore and Rankings		
	Walkability ¹		Facility Ir	nprovement (Costs ²	Operating Costs ³			Building Health Tier ⁴			1				
		1	Estimated	District-	Facility	Estimated Average		Operating			Building	Facility	1			
	% of Students with	Walkability	Improvement	Wide	Cost	Operating Cost	Wide	Cost	Health	FCA	Health	Condition	Reutilization	Total	District-Wide	
Building	0.5 Mile of School	Score*	Costs (\$/SF)	Ranking ⁸	Score*	(\$/SF)	Ranking ⁸	Score*		Health Tier	Score*	Score ⁵	Score**	Score ⁶	Ranking ⁷	Notes and Observations
Early Childhood Centers	0.0100.0000	000.0	σσστο (φ. σ. γ		000.0	(4.5.7		333.5		110001		000.0	Score	30016	nalikilig	Notes and Observations
	E20/	2	\$ 35.27	2	2	\$ 5.47	1 1	3	88%	1 2	3	3.00	3	9.00		1
JF Taylor Early Education Center	52%	3	\$ 35.27	2	3	\$ 5.47	1	3	88%	2	<u> </u>	3.00	3	9.00		Pre-K services in the northeast region to be
																_
																distributed in multiple satellite locations in
M K 5 1 51 11 0 1	000/		4 00.70	4		7.04	•		000/		•					elementary schools and a Pre-K center to be
McKee Early Education Center	20%	1	\$ 22.73	1	3	\$ 7.21	3	3	89%	2	3	3.00	3	7.00		located in current location of Findley Elementary.
Mitchell Early Education Center	19%	1	\$ 135.49	4	3	\$ 12.78	4	3	86%	2	3	3.00	3	7.00		
Woodlawn Early Education Center	28%	2	\$ 101.67	3	3	\$ 6.85	2	3	81%	3	2	2.50	3	7.50		
Elementary Schools		1	1	•	T	T	,	·	1			_	•			_
River Woods Elementary	53%	3	\$ 50.57	10	3	\$ 8.07	20	2	84%	3	2	2.25	5	10.25	1	
Edmunds Elementary	28%	2	\$ 29.95	2	3	\$ 4.83	2	3	91%	1	3	3.00	5	10.00	2	
King Elementary	72%	3	\$ 66.47	20	2	\$ 7.83	17	2	82%	3	2	2.00	5	10.00	2	
Madison Elementary	37%	2	\$ 47.34	7	3	\$ 7.79	14	2	87%	2	3	2.75	5	9.75	4	
Brubaker Elementary	25%	2	\$ 87.55	24	2	\$ 9.88	37	1	88%	2	3	2.25	5	9.25	5	
Cowles Montessori Elementary	21%	1	\$ 38.29	4	3	\$ 8.46	25	2	87%	2	3	2.75	5	8.75	6	
Carver Elementary	35%	2	\$ 64.27	19	2	\$ 6.56	5	3	89%	2	3	2.75	4	8.75	6	
Jackson Elementary	15%	1	\$ 90.51	25	2	\$ 8.42	23	2	85%	2	3	2.50	5	8.50	8	
Hillis Elementary	12%	1	\$ 74.13	23	2	\$ 7.81	16	2	90%	1	3	2.50	5	8.50	8	
Lovejoy Elementary	29%	2	\$ 49.18	9	3	\$ 8.49	28	1	79%	4	1	1.50	4	7.50	10	
South Union Elementary	26%	2	\$ 143.45	35	1	\$ 8.48	27	1	81%	3	2	1.50	4	7.50	10	
Wright Elementary	18%	1	\$ 95.56	28	1	\$ 9.61	35	1	83%	3	2	1.50	5	7.50	10	
Samuelson Elementary	21%	1	\$ 135.11	34	1	\$ 9.90	28	1	84%	3	2	1.50	5	7.50	10	
Perkins Elementary	24%	1	\$ 62.01	18	2	\$ 7.96	18	2	87%	2	3	2.50	4	7.50	10	
Garton Elementary	21%	1	\$ 53.26	12	3	\$ 8.76	29	1	81%	3	2	2.00	4	7.00	15	
Park Avenue Elementary	29%	2	\$ 46.84	6	3	\$ 7.78	13	2	77%	4	1	1.75	3	6.75	16	
Moore Elementary	16%	1	\$ 37.41	3	3	\$ 7.79	14	2	86%	2	3	2.75	3	6.75	16	
Moulton Elementary	65%	3	\$ 54.97	13	2	\$ 5.22	3	3	75%	4	1	1.75	2	6.75	16	
Pleasant Hill Elementary	10%	1	\$ 55.56	14	2	\$ 6.85	6	3	88%	2	3	2.75	3	6.75	16	
Jefferson Elementary	4%	1	\$ 39.40	5	3	\$ 8.47	26	1	86%	2	3	2.50	3	6.50	20	
Windsor Elementary	16%	1	\$ 103.47	29	1	\$ 7.13	8	3	86%	2	3	2.50	3	6.50	20	
Cattell Elementary	26%	2	\$ 56.35	15	2	\$ 8.29	21	2	88%	2	3	2.50	2	6.50	20	
Oak Park Elementary	33%	2	\$ 61.16	17	2	\$ 8.37	22	2	85%	2	3	2.50	2	6.50	20	
Stowe Elementary	32%	2	\$ 128.48	33	1	\$ 8.92	32	1	82%	3	2	1.50	3	6.50	20	
Willard Elementary	11%	1	\$ 106.17	30	1	\$ 6.91	7	3	89%	2	3	2.50	3	6.50	20	
Morris Elementary	22%	1	\$ 60.74	16	2	\$ 7.37	9	3	83%	3	2	2.25	3	6.25	26	
rioms Etementary	22 /0	_	Ψ 00.74	10		ψ 7.37	- 3	 	00 /0	3		2.20		0.20	20	School to be repurposed for dedicated Pre-K
Findley Elementary	38%	2	\$ 69.32	21	2	\$ 8.94	33	1	86%	2	3	2.25	2	6.25	26	programming.
Greenwood Elementary	7%	1	\$ 95.22	27	1	\$ 7.62	12	3	81%	3	2	2.25	3	6.00	28	k 2. c
Capitol View Elementary	34%	2	\$ 95.22	22	2	\$ 7.82	24	2	83%	3	2	2.00	2	6.00	28	
Phillips Elementary	20%	1	\$ 71.49	38	1	\$ 9.80	36	1	77%	4	1	1.00	4	6.00	28	
	29%		\$ 208.49 \$ 116.87			\$ 9.80				•		1.50		5.50		
Howe Elementary	29%	2	\$ 116.87	31	1	\$ 9.21	34	1	81%	3	2	1.50	2	5.50	31	Eviating and as to be vanished and to compare
																Existing space to be repurposed to support
Doumtoum Flore entre	407		d 40.07	4		4.00			N1/A		_	0.00		F 00	00	programming and space needs for Central
Downtown Elementary	4%	1	\$ 18.07	1	3	\$ 1.90	1	3	N/A	1	3	3.00	1	5.00	32	Campus.
Monroe Elementary	20%	1	\$ 52.27	11	3	\$ 7.45	11	3	76%	4	1	2.00	2	5.00	32	
McKinley Elementary	20%	1	\$ 118.63	32	1	\$ 7.40	10	3	79%	4	1	1.50	2	4.50	34	
																Existing space to be repurposed to support
																programming and space needs for Roosevelt High
Hubbell Elementary	15%	1	\$ 94.09	26	1	\$ 8.79	30	1	81%	3	2	1.50	2	4.50	34	School.

DMPS REIMAGINING EDUCATION - ALL REGIONS FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS SUMMARY

																School to be repurposed for dedicated Pre-K
Studebaker Elementary	10%	1	\$ 178.39	36	1	\$ 7.99	19	2	76%	4	1	1.25	2	4.25	36	programming.
Hanawalt Elementary	11%	1	\$ 48.55	8	3	\$ 8.84	31	1	81%	3	2	2.00	1	4.00	37	
Walnut Street Elementary	6%	1	\$ 187.85	37	1	\$ 5.29	4	3	73%	5	1	1.50	1	3.50	38	
Middle Schools																
Brody Middle School			\$ 134.66	9	1	\$ 8.50	9	1	82%	3	2	1.50				
Callanan Middle School			\$ 19.48	1	3	\$ 6.12	1	3	82%	3	2	2.50				
Goodrell Middle School			\$ 99.78	7	2	\$ 6.47	2	3	87%	2	3	2.75				
Harding Middle School			\$ 83.90	5	2	\$ 7.12	4	2	83%	3	2	2.00				
Hiatt Middle School			\$ 87.44	6	2	\$ 6.68	3	3	85%	2	3	2.75				
Hoyt Middle School			\$ 68.57	3	3	\$ 7.13	5	2	87%	2	3	2.75				
																Building to be repurposed for use as a dedicated K
McCombs Middle School			\$ 46.69	2	3	\$ 8.35	8	1	82%	3	2	2.00				6 elementary school.
Meredith Middle School			\$ 79.14	4	2	\$ 7.25	6	2	86%	2	3	2.50				
Merrill Middle School			\$ 135.05	10	1	\$ 8.89	10	1	84%	3	2	1.50				
Weeks Middle School			\$ 103.47	8	1	\$ 7.77	7	2	79%	4	1	1.25				
High Schools																
East High School			\$ 115.45	5	1	\$ 7.71	6	1	81%	3	2	1.50				
Hoover High School			\$ 122.98	6	1	\$ 7.39	3	2	75%	4	1	1.25				
Kurtz Opportunity School			\$ 63.77	4	2	\$ 2.65	1	3	86%	2	3	2.75				
Lincoln High School			\$ 60.30	3	2	\$ 7.52	5	1	78%	4	1	1.25				
North High School			\$ 57.19	2	3	\$ 6.66	2	3	83%	3	2	2.50				
Roosevelt High School			\$ 39.64	1	3	\$ 7.46	4	2	78%	4	1	1.75				

Detail Notes:

*Score Keys

Walkability Score	Facility Cost Score	Operating Cost Score	Building Health Score		
>50%: 3 points	Ranked 1 to 12:3 points	Ranked 1 to 12:3 points	Tiers 1-2: 3 points		
25%-49%: 2 points	Ranked 13 to 25 : 2 points	Ranked 13 to 25 : 2 points	Tier 3 : 2 points		
<25%: 1 point	Ranked 26 to 38: 1 point	Ranked 26 to 38 : 1 point	Tiers 4-5: 1 point		

** Reutilization Score Definitions

- 1: Facility/structures do not have space, or are not suitable, for expansion of educational services (additional classrooms and/or grade levels). Site does not support expansion of supporting elements (i.e., parking, greenspaces, play areas, etc.).
- 2: Facility/structures support reutilization for the delivery of alternate services (i.e., current elementary suitable for reuse as early childhood facility). Site does not support expansion of supporting elements (i.e., parking, greenspaces, play areas, etc.). OR Facility/Structures do not support reutilization in
- 3: Facilities/structures support reutilization for expanded delivery of educational services (additional classrooms and/or grade levels). Site does not support expansion of supporting elements (i.e., parking, greenspaces, play areas, etc.).
- 4: Facility/structures support expansion for delivery of educational services (additional classrooms and/or grade levels). Site supports limited expansion of supporting elements (i.e., parking, greenspaces, play areas, etc.).
- 5: Facility/structures support expansion of delivery of educational services (additional classrooms and/or grade levels). Site conditions allow for expansion of all required supporting elements (i.e., parking, greenspaces, play areas, etc.). Note: Reutilization scores developed based on review of existing site conditions, presence of existing site structures and utilities, and historical institutional knowledge.

General Notes

A) Gray-shaded rows are facilities identified to be retired or repurposed.

¹ Walkability value represents the percentage of students within 0.5 miles of the respective school. These values were calculated using data provided by Polk and Warren Counties, City of Des Moines, Des Moines Public Schools, US Census Bureau, and ESRI. Data was modeled and presented by RSP and Associates (2024). Walkability values were only calculated for elementary and early childhood facilities.

² Facility Improvement Cost represents estimated total cost of facility improvements identified in the Facility Condition Assessment (FCA) report for the respective school, prepared by BBS Architects | Engineers (2024) per square foot of building area.

³Operating Cost represents estimated annual cost of facility operation, per square foot of building area, including costs associated with facility, custodial, technology, and transportation services and site utilities for the respective school using data from the period of 2014 to 2023.

⁴ Building Health Tier information represents facility conditions as identified in the FCA report for the respective school, prepared by BBS Architects | Engineers (2024).

⁵ Facility Condition Score is a weighted ranking of Facility Condition information for a respective school. The total score includes the sum of the Facility Cost Score and Operating Cost Score, each weighted at 25%, and the Building Health Score weighted at 50%.

⁶ Total Score represents the sum of Walkability Score, Facility Condition Score, and Reutilization Score. All values are equally weighted.

⁷ District Wide Ranking represents the calculated value for all elementary schools, district wide, from lowest to highest. Ranking were not prepared for other building types.